



## 2040 Plan Steering Committee Meeting #7

---

- **Meeting Information**

**Date:** → Wednesday, September 16, 2020  
**Time:** → 6:00 – 8:00 PM  
**Location:** → Virtual Meeting

- **Virtual Meeting Information**

Members of the public may view the meeting at <https://facebook.com/ToH2040>.

### Agenda

- **Roll Call**

- Steering Committee Members: Kim Aichele, Tim Bender, Ross Boner, Randy Brawley, John Carbonell, John Forehand, Erin Gillespie, Kristen Ingram, Dan Murphy, Eric Rowell, Kaitlyn Sisson, George Solomon
- Town Staff: Jack Simoneau, Dave Hill, Tracy Barron
- Consultants: Jake Petrovsky, Jay McLeod, Allison Evans
- Planning Board
  - i. Stephen Swanick, Jennifer Davis, Derek Partee, Catherine Graffy, Frank Gammonm Greg Baber, Scott Hensley, Chris Boyd

- **Motion on revised agenda**

- Approved

- **July 22nd minutes**

- Eric R. Note a change
  - i. For the record redline motion failed 11-2.

- **Public Comments**

- none

- **Chairman's Remarks**



John Carbonell called the meeting to order and provided opening remarks.

Reminder that due to the Town's, County's and State's declaration of emergency due to COVID-19, the meeting tonight is being conducted remotely.

John reminded everyone that the meeting will be broadcast on Facebook and archived and reminded everyone about upcoming virtual town meetings where the Plan will be reviewed.

- **Draft Plan Overview**

- Jake gave overview of draft plan
- Discussed concurrency on nodal development
  - i. Small-scale development specified in most nodes
  - ii. Some development could build parts of roadways,
  - iii. Town has TIA and it is recommended to be refined to keep infrastructure up with growth

- **Public Engagement Update**

- Review of survey responses
  - i. 250 survey responses to date
- Demographic representation
  - i. 80% White on this survey, more diverse than previous surveys
  - ii. Lower income hard to get to take surveys. 6%

- **Potential Revisions**

- Discussed concern over specificity of some recommendations
  - i. For example, the recommendation for a full-time fire department, where did that come from?
    1. A: It is not a rec for full time department, but one or more full-time leadership positions. This comes from stakeholder interviews.
    2. We may want to be more generic about budget priorities and land banking for fire and police services.
- May include a disclaimer that recommendations are based on a number of sources, including stakeholders, steering committee, and community input.
- Question: Does the FLU map change our zoning code?



- i. No not immediately. But it should be used to influence zoning decisions, design, and development approvals.
- Question: What happens with the Small Area Plans? Are they still applicable?
  - i. They are still valid. A lot of detail that is not in the Comp Plan. Many may need to be updated though as they are growing outdated.
- Jennifer Davis gave her feedback:
  - i. Likes that it mimics and builds on 2030, which is used at every meeting.
  - ii. Did not like to hear about subcommittees or direction
  - iii. Would prefer that things are worked out, re: senior housing before plan approval
  - iv. What will happen with recommendations that require a text amendment?
    - 1. A: Priority goals and recs assigned to department with timeline in action plan. This is going to be in the final plan. There will be a number of action items. Staff will work with managers office and town board on how to achieve text amendments, programs, projects, etc.
  - v. May have at time when planning board will have to exercise authority to interpret a decision based on the future plan before it is formally adopted.
- Derek: Would like to see more formal recreation mentioned in the plan like pickleball courts. These features also provide a social element to the Town.
  - i. A: The 2040 Plan can incorporate some programming recommendations, but some of this will be detailed in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.
- **Implementation Strategy / Action Plan**

Final points and action items

  - If the SC sees red flags and/ or has ideas for priority action items, will email Jack and Dave along with their comments on the plan.



- Red flag, don't want plan to fall in PB lap and not have direction. Happy to hear that conversation on how to move forward is taking place.
- How long is the floor open? Until November
- Asked the entire Committee if they feel comfortable with recs and process?
  - i. Randy: Yes, it reflects comments we've seen.
  - ii. May need vehicle for implementation of plan.
  - iii. George Solomon: impressed with process of it all. Reflects positively what we worked on for the year.
  - iv. Some members will do deep dive and provide comments.
  - v. Kim likes level of detail. Will take a while for the PB to absorb.
  - vi. Erin needs more time to reflect on survey answers. Steering Committee will provide full comments after the survey is closed.
- Appendices
  - i. Yes will have surveys, public meeting summary and stakeholder interview notes in there.
- Traffic and congestion
  - i. What can we address as a town? Educate readers. Need to be clear in the plan. Maybe part of the call out for Mobility Plan.
  - ii. Maybe disclaimer on what we cannot address fully.
- **Next Steps**
  - Stewart to send Jack, Dave, and SC full results of the survey.
  - SC will provide comments
  - Upcoming Planning Board Meeting